

# INSIGHTS

## Into The Weekly Parsha

בס"ד

SEPTEMBER 2, 2017

This week's Insights is dedicated in loving memory of Yisroel Ben Aryeh Leib, beloved father of Larry Kuczynski.  
"May his Neshama have an Aliya!"

VOLUME 7, ISSUE 44

11 ELUL

Based on the Torah of our Rosh HaYeshiva HaRav Yochanan Zweig

PARSHAS KI SEITZEI

## Double Vision

**Remember what Amalek did to you on the way, when you came forth out of Egypt; how he attacked you on the way and struck at your rear those who were feeble... (25:17-18)**

This week's *parsha* ends with a short retelling of the story of Amalek attacking Bnei Yisroel after leaving *Mitzrayim*, and the exhortation that we never forget what they did to us. Rashi (ad loc) explains that the word "*korcha* – attacked you" has its roots in the Hebrew word "*kor*" which means cool. In other words, they cooled off the Jewish people. Meaning, until now the other nations were afraid of the Jewish people and wouldn't fight them, but when Amalek came and attacked them it "cooled them off" and showed the other nations that it was possible to fight Bnei Yisroel.

Rashi continues with the following analogy: There was a bath that was scalding hot, to the point that it was unusable. One fellow came along, jumped in to the bath, and got severely burned. However, since he had bathed in it, he succeeded in cooling it sufficiently to be usable for others. So too, Amalek attacked us and cooled us to the point where other nations were now able to conceive of the idea that they too could fight us.

Superficially, this sounds like an acceptable way of looking at what Amalek achieved. But if we probe just a bit deeper we begin to see how perplexing the logic behind this analogy really is. Amalek came and fought with Bnei Yisroel and Amalek was decimated. Wouldn't their epic failure serve as an incredible statement and proof of the power of Bnei Yisroel? In fact, logically this story seems to convey quite the opposite – that the Jewish people are absolutely **not** to be messed

with. Amalek's defeat literally showcased the power and might of the Jewish people! What can Rashi possibly mean that "they cooled us off?"

When Bnei Yisroel left *Mitzrayim* they were supposed to get the Torah and go right into Eretz Yisroel and begin the era of messianic times with Moshe as King Moshiach. The splitting of the Red Sea, according to Chazal, reverberated across the world to the point that everyone was aware of it. The Jewish people were supposed to lead a revolution against idol worship and fulfill Avraham's vision of monotheism for the world. We were supposed to bring everyone back to Hashem. When we left *Mitzrayim*, we were on an unstoppable mission of bringing the world to its final resolution.

Then Amalek came and made an incredible statement. They attacked knowing that they would be annihilated – which was EXACTLY their point. Their startling statement was: This world is not worth living in if it is to be the world of the Jewish people – we would prefer to die than live in a world where G-d is revealed and relevant. This is a powerful statement (and the obvious precursor to suicide murderers), and resembles those who perform self-immolation to bring attention to their cause; suicides which are powerful arguments against the status quo. Amalek succeeded in saying that there is an alternative to living in this world according to the vision of the Jewish people.




What Rashi means that "they cooled us off" is that other nations will now contemplate whether or not our vision is right for them. Once Amalek attacked, we no longer had the overwhelming singular truth of our world vision because Amalek succeeded in placing doubt in other people's minds. Even though they lost terribly, they succeeded in raising the question as to whether or not this world is worth living in if it is a world according to the Jewish vision. They gave credence to other nations; allowing them to consider fighting us and our vision for the world. This was a devastating loss of credibility – something we can never forgive.

### Did You Know...

This week's *parshah*, contains more *mitzvos* than any other *parsha* in the Torah. One specific *mitzvah*, the very unique *mitzvah* of *shiluach hakein* – sending away the mother bird before taking its offspring, has some rather obscure *halachos* as to how exactly it is fulfilled.

1. This *mitzvah* may be performed both by men and women (*Sefer HaChinuch* 545).

2. Only kosher birds are eligible for this *mitzvah*. However, not only may we use the birds that we know we can eat (which require a *mesorah*), we can also use birds which



# Family Interest

**You shall not lend upon interest to your brother...to a stranger you may lend upon interest; but to your brother you shall not lend upon interest (23:20-21).**

This week's *parsha* contains the prohibition of lending money with interest to another Jew. It is prohibited to charge interest or pay interest to another Jew. Yet at the same time, the Torah makes it very clear that it is permissible to lend money to non-Jews and charge them interest. In fact, Maimonides (*Yad – Malveh Veloveh* 5:1) rules that it is a positive commandment to charge non-Jews interest. This dichotomy in lending practices has often been used as a pretext to attack Jews all over the world during the last two millennia.

In truth, the laws against charging interest and paying interest require a deeper understanding. As an example: Reuven needs money to pay for his daughter's wedding, and he happens to know that his friend Shimon has a lot of money sitting in the bank earning 2% interest. Reuven wants to borrow some of that money but he feels very uncomfortable asking Shimon, especially knowing that Shimon would be losing that two percent interest

that the bank is paying him. Reuven also realizes that he is already asking for a big favor because he knows that Shimon is taking a bigger risk by withdrawing it from the bank and lending it to him. Moreover, by Shimon lending Reuven the money and thereby losing his two percent earned interest, Reuven now feels like a charity case.

In reality, Reuven would MUCH prefer to pay interest so that he isn't uncomfortable asking Shimon for the loan and isn't made to feel like he is receiving charity; so why should Reuven not be allowed to pay interest?

The answer is that the Torah is teaching us that paying interest between two Jews isn't appropriate. Why not?

Let's say that a person's mother needed money; would a healthy person charge their own mother interest? Or their son, or a brother? Of course not. Functional families are devoted to each other even at a cost. Moreover, a son asking his parents

for a loan doesn't feel like he is receiving charity by not paying interest. The Torah is teaching us that the reason you aren't allowed to charge interest isn't because one should take advantage of another; the reason is because one Jew is obligated to treat another as family. This is why the Torah characterizes the borrower as family (23:20-21), "*You shall not lend upon interest to your brother; [...]to a stranger you may lend upon interest; but to your brother you shall not lend upon interest...*"

This also explains why it is not only okay to charge non-Jews interest but actually a *mitzvah* to do so. We need to internalize that they aren't our family. Obviously, we shouldn't charge exorbitant interest, just something reasonable that they are happy to accept. Non-Jews understand that they aren't family and they, in fact, are more comfortable asking for a loan and paying interest because otherwise it would be like receiving charity.

## Did You Know Continued

exhibit kosher signs, yet do not have a clear *mesorah*, like sparrows, robins, cardinals, and orioles.

3. The *mitzvah* is only performed when sending away the mother bird. This is usually the bird that is resting on the nest at night. Therefore, night is the optimal time to perform the *mitzvah*.

4. The *mitzvah* may only be performed before the chicks develop the ability to fly on their own—approximately two weeks after hatching (*Shulchan Aruch Y.D. 292:7*).

5. The *mitzvah* must be performed on an ownerless nest (*Shulchan Aruch Y.D. 292:2*).

Therefore, if one has a nest in one's property the *mitzvah* may not be performed. However, some authorities hold that one may be *mafkir* it (declare it ownerless) thereby allowing the *mitzvah* to be performed.

6. The *mitzvah* may not be performed on Shabbos or Yom Tov (*Responsa Chasam Sofer O.C. 100*).

7. According to Raavad, Rokeach, the Aruch HaShulchan (292:10) and others, one recites a *bracha* before fulfilling this *mitzvah*. But most *Rishonim* and many *Acharonim* disagree; which seems to be the prevailing custom. Some recommend saying the following blessing without mentioning Hashem's name: "*Baruch atah melech ha'olam asher kid'shanu bmitzvosav v'tzivanu l'shaleia'ch*

*ha'kein*" (*Shaleiach Tishlach* Hebrew ed. p. 38).

8. One doesn't need to pick up the mother and send her away, but rather, scaring the mother away is sufficient (Rashi; *Chulin* 141b, *Chazon Ish Y.D. 175:2*).

9. Once the mother bird has flown away, one may pick up the eggs or chicks (*Chazon Ish Y.D. 175:2*).

10. Even if the mother bird is watching, one still fulfills the *mitzvah*. To perform the *mitzvah*, the eggs/chicks should be lifted to a height of about 12 inches (*Beur Halacha O.C. 366 s.v. tzarich*).

11. You do not need not keep them (*Shiluach Hakan*, Feldheim, pg. 65).



4000 Alton Road  
Miami Beach, FL 33140

To dedicate an issue of Insights please email:  
[info@talmudicu.edu](mailto:info@talmudicu.edu)  
or contact us at: (305) 534-7050



Scan to subscribe and  
receive Insights via email