

JUNE 22, 2019

This week's Insights is sponsored by the Rosh HaYeshiva in honor of the engagement of his talmid R' Avroham Chaim Weisberg to Barbara Weinberg.

19 SIVAN

Based on the Torah of our Rosh HaYeshiva HaRav Yochanan Zweig

PARSHAS BEHA'ALOSCHA

When a Symptom Becomes a Cause

את משה חיים And the people became as complainers, (speaking) evil in the ears of Hashem... (11, 1)

"misoninim" means those who seek a they are merely looking for a pretext to pretext to complain; Bnei Yisroel were express their displeasure with the other looking for a way to distance themselves person. The argument is merely the from Hashem. Rashi goes on to explain vehicle that they were complaining about their resentment. arduous journey - "we have traveled three days without a respite!" Yet, previously (10, 33) Rashi explains that they completed a three day journey in a single day because Hashem wanted to Yisroel bring them into Eretz immediately. If so, why were they complaining?

Chazal (cited by Ramban on 10, 35) teach us that Bnei Yisroel left Mount Sinai like "a child running away from school." Chazal are referring to the feeling of following the removal of relief responsibility that a school child feels when he hears that final school bell on the last day of school. He doesn't simply leave, he literally "runs away" from school. In other words, Bnei Yisroel were running away from Hashem and the mitzvos. As Ramban (ibid.) explains; they ran away "lest we receive more commandments."

especially when it comes to family off the yoke of responsibility to Hashem, issues, have little or nothing to do with they used the three day journey as an the actual reason for the fight. Nearly all excuse for a fight. The complaining interpersonal issues stem from control wasn't because of a justifiable cause, it issues. Couples may fight over religious was only a symptom of the real issue observance, the spouse's family, their their resentment of being told what to children's education, or other seemingly do.

Rashi (ad loc) explains that the word "righteous" arguments. But in reality to articulate feelings of

> The quintessential example of this is Korach. He made many religious and seemingly righteous arguments against Moshe and Aharon. Korach was a first rate talmid chacham and was able to channel his resentment into halachic disagreements with Moshe and Aharon. In fact, he was able to convince many people to side with him. But, in reality, he was just jealous that he was overlooked for the position of Kohen Gadol. His arguments were merely a pretext to pick a fight; which is why the Mishna in avos calls it a machlokes that was not for the sake of heaven.

This further explains what Rashi means by "they were looking to distance themselves from Hashem." Their real issue had nothing to do with the journey; for we know that a three day journey only took one day. This of course was a great kindness from the Almighty, but as Most fights that people engage in, they were looking for a pretext to throw



parsha, This week's Parshas Beha'aloscha, contains the unusual upside down appearance of the letter 'nun' twice as brackets to two seemingly random pesukim (Bamidbar 10:35-36). It is difficult to understand what is so unique about these two *pesukim*; they seem to carry no special message other than conveying what Moshe would say every time the aron started moving with the entire nation behind it - and what he said every time the aron came to a rest.

The Gemara (Shabbos 115b-116a) has a machlokes regarding what this separated section means. One opinion is that this section is bracketed to indicate that it does not belong here. It should really have appeared in the parsha of Bamidbar or where Nasso the formations and the travels of the camp were discussed. The reason why it was placed here was to put separation between the "first account of punishment" and the "second account of punishment" (because the Torah didn't want to record in succession two sins that Bnei Yisroel did deserving of severe punishment). Interestingly, Rashi adds that when Moshiach comes, and there aren't any more punishments from Hashem, this section will go back to its rightful place.

(Continued on reverse)

Dealing with Abuse

Did I conceive this entire nation, did I give birth to it that You say to me carry them in your bosom like a nurse carries an infant... (11. 12)

infant. Rashi (ad loc) points out that primarily driven by our own selfish needs. Hashem outlined the extent of this We strive to be giving, altruistic, and love responsibility when he first appointed unconditionally. On the other hand, we Moshe: "And He commanded them (Moshe and Aharon) regarding Bnei Yisroel" (Shemos 6, 13): "Lead them with the understanding that they will stone you and insult you."

On the face of it, it seems kind of shocking. What kind of leader tolerates physical and psychological punishment? Perhaps even more perplexing – how does Moshe relate this responsibility to that of parenting an infant?

The Torah is teaching us an incredible lesson in both parenting and leadership. Every child "knows" that they were born because of their parents' self-interest, and upon superficial examination they would seem to be right. A case can certainly be made that having children is for our own self-interest: Whether it's to work in the family business or continue the family legacy or simply to escape mortality by having descendants that will be here long after we're gone, it's seemingly clear that having children is really is in our own selfish interests.

In leadership it is even more glaringly clear, particularly when looking at today's improve the child or because we are interest of the constituency not his own political landscape.

According to another opinion in the As a side note – even if we acknowledge Rabbeinu Bachya adds that the reason that these two verses are in reality a sanctity of the Torah; it teaches that any separate book in and of themselves. part of Torah which is erased but retains a According to this view, there are not Five minimum of 85 letters, (exactly the Books of Moshe, but Seven Books of number in this separated section of this Moshe: (1) Bereishis, (2) Shemos, (3) week's parsha), has holiness, for a "book" Vayikra, (4) Bamidbar until these two remains. This is discussed more in depth pesukim, (5) These Two Pesukim, (6) the in Gemara Shabbos 116a. rest of Bamidbar, and (7) Devarim.

Moshe describes his responsibility of Obviously, as parents we hope that embarrassed by his actions, as if it is some leadership as a parent who cares for an bringing children into this world isn't failure on our part? must keep in mind that our children will always look for reasons why we do what we do. Essentially, if they can explain that much of what we do is in our self-interest then they can rationalize that they don't owe us much as we aren't doing anything for their sake. This is a common mindset for one who is on the receiving side of kindness. Being on the receiving end of a largesse is discomfiting; therefore the natural response is to search for a motive behind the gift. Rationalizing that not much is owed in terms of appreciation because the kindness was really selfserving in some manner for benefactor is how most people deal with this discomfort.

> Unfortunately, we all make the mistake of This same lesson applies to leadership. criticizing our children in areas where it Constituents are naturally going to look at becomes confusing as to if we are everything their leaders do as being in criticizing for the child's own good or their own self-serving interests. This is merely because we are concerned for our why Hashem commanded Moshe that he own reputation. This can be criticism of has to take the position with the how a child does in school, how he understanding that there will be physical dresses, what profession he chooses, or and psychological abuse. Being tolerant of even the spouse he chooses to marry. Are those abuses is the only way a leader can we being critical because we are trying to relay the message that he is acting in the

Obviously, as parents we want to believe that we are doing it for the right reasons. On the other hand, a child will naturally look at it as being due to our own ego and self-interest. This is why it is so important that we severely limit our criticism to issues that cannot be misconstrued as self -serving.

But even more importantly, the Torah is teaching us that being a good parent comes with the understanding that, as a parent, you're going to take abuse. In fact, that is the clearest way to send the message to your children that your parenting is for their sake not your own: If you're willing to put up with abuse, the obviously the relationship is about what's good for them and not what's necessarily what's best for you.

self-interest.

Gemara, these two *pesukim* are in their that this division creates two new books, proper place because this is the first how can two isolated verses be because the numerical value of the letter account of how the Jews traveled, as considered a "book" by any stretch of the nun is fifty, and Bamibar 2:17, where earlier it was only the commandment. So imagination? We find a fascinating Mishna these verses belong, is 50 sections before the separation of these *pesukim* indicates (Yadayim 3:5), which discusses the ritual this section.

why the Torah chose reversed "nuns" is

{It has been observed that even with counting both of these sections, they are in fact only 49 sections apart, but this may be attributed to an extra paragraph that the older Sifrei Torahs had (Minchas Shai Bamidbor 10:22).



To dedicate an issue of Insights please email: info@talmudicu.edu or contact us at: (305) 534-7050

