**NOVERMBER 11, 2023** 

This week's Insights is dedicated in loving memory of Gusta Nash. "May her Neshama have an Aliya!"

**VOLUME 14, ISSUE 5** 

**27 CHESHVAN** 

Based on the Torah of our Rosh HaYeshiva HaRav Yochanan Zweig

**PARSHAS CHAYEI SARAH** 

## Divine Protection

The servant took ten camels, of his master's camels, and went with all the bounty of his master [...] (24:10).

Rashi (ad loc) seems bothered by this Ramban therefore makes a fortiori distinguished from other camels -"because his camels were always muzzled to prevent them from stealing by grazing in fields belonging to others."

says that upon reaching their final destination "he (Eliezer) unfastened the camels," and Rashi reiterates that this is referring to the muzzles that the camels were wearing (24:34). Ramban (ad loc) disagrees and says that the "unfastening" mentioned in the possuk either refers to releasing the camels' saddles, or to untying the camels from each other, which was the custom when traveling with many animals.

Ramban contends that it is impossible that the camels of Avraham Avinu needed muzzles to prevent them from stealing. He goes on to prove this via the wellknown Talmudic maxim that Hashem prevents the animals of the righteous from sinning: We find several stories (Talmud Yerushalmi Dmai 1:3 and Talmud Bavli Chulin 7a-7b) involving the donkey of Pinchas Ben Yair whereby the animal refused to consume food that wasn't halachically appropriate to eat. In one of eat for three days straight because the feed offered hadn't been properly tithed.

possuk as it should be obvious that Eliezer argument; if Hashem prevented the would have only taken the camels that donkey of Pinchas Ben Yair from sinning, belonged to his master; why should the how is it possible that He would permit Torah identify them as belonging to the camels of Avraham to steal by grazing Avraham? Rashi goes on to explain that in other people's fields? Ramban thus Avraham's camels were unique and easily concludes that the animals of Avraham Therefore, didn't need muzzles.

In a similar vein, we find a Tosefta (Shabbos 12b) that explains the reason one is forbidden to read by candlelight on Similarly, later in the narrative the Torah Friday night is because you may come to adjust the light (which may lead to a forbidden act on Shabbos). R' Yishmael Ben Elisha said, "I will read by the candlelight and not adjust it." R' Nasan said that when R' Yishmael tried it he ended up adjusting the light: He therefore proclaimed, "I, Yishmael son of Elisha, read by the light and adjusted it. When the Beis Hamikdosh will be rebuilt I will bring a sacrifice for atonement." However, Tosfos (ad loc) questions: If Hashem protects the animals of the righteous from inadvertent sinning, how much more so must he protect the righteous themselves! In other words, why didn't Hashem prevent R' Yishmael from sinning?

We learn here a fascinating concept, one that provides us with a deep philosophical insight. Obviously, being a tzaddik requires one to act in a righteous manner. However, Hashem doesn't act in order to keep people righteous by preventing those stories the donkey, which had been them from doing something wrong - that stolen from its master, actually refused to would run counter to purpose of allowing people to raise themselves to righteousness.



## Miami Edition

the only time Hashem prevents a tzaddik from inadvertently committing a forbidden act is when he takes every precaution to avoid such a situation. In other words, Hashem helps the righteous avoid the pain of doing something wrong by protecting them when they have already done everything in their power to prevent sinning. The great R' Yishmael Ben Elisha felt that he would be able to resist the temptation of adjusting the candles – in such a situation Hashem wouldn't act to prevent him from violating Shabbos if R' Yishmael failed to control himself.

This would also answer Ramban's question on Rashi. Avraham had to muzzle his animals because he has to do everything in his power to make sure thev wouldn't steal. But if, for example, a strap broke and the muzzle fell off, in such a situation Hashem would step in to prevent Avraham Avinu's animals from stealing. This is because Avraham would have done everything reasonably in his power to prevent such a situation from occurring. In this case, Hashem would then forestall the pain to Avraham caused by his animals grazing in another's field. Hashem doesn't keep people righteous; He prevents the righteous from getting hurt.

## Lavan's Marriage Advice

They blessed Rifkah, "our sister may you come to be thousands of myriads [...]" (24:60).

Upon departing her family to travel to meet her husband to be, transcends the two individuals and creates a greater "one." Rifkah is given a blessing by her mother and brother Lavan. Remarkably, this blessing has become the standard Jewish custom for blessing ones' daughter on her wedding day. For thousands of years, Jewish fathers have used these exact words to bless their daughters on their wedding day during the bedeken (veiling ceremony).

One has to wonder - what is so remarkable about this blessing that it has become the standard blessing that Jewish fathers have used, word for word, to confer a blessing to their daughters on this most precious day? Not the least of the difficulties is the source of this blessing; Lavan is considered a very wicked and amoral individual who singlehandedly tried to wipe out the future of the Jewish people.

If we are going to use Lavan's words, one would at least expect him to have said something deeply insightful or particularly moving. But his "blessing" seems pedestrian, at best, and yet this is the blessing that a father gives his daughter on one of the most meaningful days of her life. What special message is being conveyed?

Targum Yonasan Ben Uziel translates this blessing in an unusual manner: "Until now you were our sister, now you are going to be taken (in marriage) to that righteous man. May it be Hashem's will that thousands of myriads will come from you." Why does the Targum Yonasan add the piece about being taken in marriage to the righteous Yitzchak, where does he see an indication of that in the possuk?

The Targum is bothered by the word in the verse meaning "may you come to be." The blessing from Rifkah's family should have merely been "may you give birth to thousands of myriads." The incredible insight given to Rifkah by her family is that marriage is a transformative experience. You may have left as our sister but you are now "becoming" united with a righteous man. You, Rifkah, are going to have a new identity, and this is a recreation of who you are.

This is the very message a father is supposed to give his daughter. Marriage isn't merely moving from where you grew up into another home. Marriage creates a new entity, and that entity will "become" thousands of myriads because a proper marriage

This blessing is the very definition of what marriage is, and this is why almost every single one of the Sheva Brachos relates to either creation or Gan Eden. The message that we convey to the bride and groom on their wedding day is that they are being transformed into a new entity.





Create a lasting legacy with a gift in your will, trust, retirement account, or life insurance policy. Contact melissa@talmudicu.edu for more information.

