FEBRUARY 24, 2024

This week's Insights is dedicated in loving memory of Rabbi Menachem Manis (Emanuel) ben Shalom Aryeh Holzer. "May his Neshama have an Aliya!"

VOLUME 14, ISSUE 20

15 ADAR 1

Based on the Torah of our Rosh HaYeshiva HaRav Yochanan Zweig

PARSHAS TETZAVEH

Hearing is Believing

Make the robe of the ephod completely out of sky-blue wool [...] on the bottom place pomegranates made of sky-blue, purple, and crimson wool and in between there shall be gold bells [...] the sound shall be heard when he enters the Kodesh before Hashem [...] (28:31-35).

This week's parsha continues on the the disrespect shown to the king by his theme of the Mishkan by introducing the wife Vashti is an affront to the entire clothes that the kohanim were required to country. This advisor (according to some it wear during their service. The Kohen was Haman) recommended that letters be Gadol had four additional garments that sent out to all corners of the kingdom This concept, that everything that Hashem he wore during part of his service. One of "and it will be heard throughout his these, known as the robe, had a unique kingdom [the king's command that aspect to it: the bottom hem was ringed women are to treat their husbands with mitzvos overwhelmingly repressive. They with 36 bells, which made a gentle ringing respect]" (Esther 1:20). tone when he walked.

they famously responded to Hashem's different query as to whether they agreed to accept problematic; if and then try to understand them."

This is generally understood to mean that we are committed to accepting the Bnei Yisroel were actually making a very responsibilities of the Torah without even knowing the specific requirements. This is akin to signing a contract without reading all of the terms contained inside. This was in stark contrast to the other nations of the world who first responded to Hashem Torah.

The second occurrence of "ונשמע" is the one in this week's parsha. The third occurrence is in Megillas Esther, when King Achashveirosh's advisor told him that

However, the three occurrences of this Bal Haturim, in his commentary (ad loc), word do not all mean exactly the same; by points out that there are three places in both the Mishkan and Megillas Esther the the scriptures where the word "ונשמע – it word means "it will be heard," while by shall be heard" appears. The first time is Har Sinai the word means "we will hear when Bnei Yisroel were at Har Sinai and (later)." Why should that instance have a meaning? more "נעשה ונשמע is such an the Torah: "נשמע – we will do and we expression of devotion to Hashem will hear" (Shemos 24:7). This was, because we are committing to do before ostensibly, a tremendous act of devotion even understanding the reason, then the to Hashem; "we will observe the mitzvos ultimate expression of loyalty would be just נעשה without ונשמע, which Bnei Yisroel said earlier (see Shemos 24:3)!

profound statement; when they said נעשה ונשמע they didn't mean understand the 'why' later." They were saying, "Hashem, we trust You. We know that what You want is in our best interest. We are confident that when we perform by asking what was contained in the the mitzvos it will be inherently understood why it is good for us, because we know that everything You ask of us is for our own good. From observing the mitzvos it will be heard how it is beneficial to us." Now, all three occurrences of ונשמע mean exactly the same "it will be heard."



asks of us is merely for our own benefit, is lost on many who find observance of are like little children who cannot understand why their parents constantly restricting them: "Don't run into the street. Don't play with fire. Don't drink bleach," or "Clean up your room," "Write thank you notes," etc. They lack the perspective that everything their parents ask of them is merely for their own long-term benefit. So too we must appreciate that whatever Hashem asks of us is solely for our sake.



Love Hate Relationship

It shall be that when Hashem, your God, gives you rest from all your enemies all around, in the land that Hashem, your God, gives you as an inheritance to possess it, you shall wipe out the memory of Amalek from under the heaven [...] (25:19).

There is a mitzvah to recall what our Gemara gives us an absolutely stunning come from the same place — the desire treachery.

But the verse quoted above is a little difficult to understand. The possuk declares that when Hashem has In other words, the adversary and other than to seek your absolute enabled us to conquer all our enemies enemy that she intended to blame was annihilation. Amalek is this type of we must obliterate the very memory of Achashveirosh himself but the angel enemy. When Bnei Yisroel left Egypt Amalek. However, if Hashem has redirected her accusation. While it's they are described by Chazal as a already helped us defeat all our true that Achashveirosh is the one who boiling cauldron – anyone who attacked enemies, then why is Amalek still a sold out the Jewish people to Haman them would surely be severely scalded. threat to be obliterated? Are they not for obliteration, this interpretation is But Amalek didn't care; their hatred of included in the category of "your almost difficult to even fathom. Where Bnei Yisroel compelled them to attack, enemies"?

At Esther's second party with both Achashveirosh and Haman, Esther killed a terrible thing. Esther accuses:

Haman!" (Megillas Esther 7:7).

On the surface, this seems like a straightforward accusation. But the

archenemy, the nation of Amalek, did version of what actually happened. The to make a union. to us: They brazenly carried out a brutal Gemara (Megillah 16b) says that Esther sneak attack from the rear when Bnei got up and with her finger points Yisroel left Egypt. Therefore, we are accusingly to Achashveirosh! An angel commanded to never forget their then appeared and redirected her finger to point at Haman, at which point Esther adds the words "the wicked Haman."

> do Chazal see anywhere in the text an even if it meant their own destruction. allusion to indicate that was what transpired?

lost." that he wants. Remarkably, the word motivated both the feelings of love and enmity Haman she would've said איש צר ושונא.

A שונא is someone far different. The source of his hatred is derived from his repulsion for you and everything for which you stand. He doesn't want to absorb you within himself; he wants to obliterate you from existence. In fact, he has no self-interest to attack you

That's why when the Torah says that Hashem will give you respite begins to explain why she invited them The Torah uses two very different from "אויבך" it doesn't include Amalek. both to the party. Her narrative begins words to describe an enemy: שונא and This is also how Chazal know that with a tale of woe that "her people" אויב. An אויב is an enemy who feels Esther was referring to Achashveirosh – have endured: "We have been sold to enmity because you have something she said "אויב" an enemy who is Achashveirosh implores her to tell him for one who loves you is very similar, Achashveirosh was motivated by selfwho would have dared perpetrate such both in spelling and phonetically – אוהב. interest, which is why he sold-out the The reason for this is the similarities in Jews to Haman. Thus, Chazal knew that the concepts. Both seek to connect; a Esther really intended to accuse איש צר ואויב – המן הרע הזה The adversary and enemy is the wicked friend who loves you wants to be with Achashveirosh, until the angel came you, while an enemy wants to absorb and redirected her finger to point at all that you are within himself. Thus, Haman. Had she intended to accuse



Ben's Best Charcuterie is as authentic as it gets. Using only high-quality meat and methods that have been around for centuries, Ben's Best is able to achieve high quality kosher charcuterie that equals any of the non-kosher charcuterie found worldwide.



WWW.BENSBESTCHARCUTERIE.COM | +1 (917) 589-1266



CAMPUS 4000 Alton Road Miami Beach, FL 33140

To dedicate an issue of Insights please email: info@talmudicu.edu or contact us at: (305) 534-7050

