

INSIGHTS

Into The Weekly Parsha

MAY 2, 2026

This week's Insights is dedicated in loving memory of Yitu Bbas Rafael,
Joan Lefkowitz. "May her Neshama have an Aliya!"

VOLUME 16, ISSUE 29

15 IYAR

Based on the Torah of our Rosh HaYeshiva HaRav Yochanan Zweig

PARSHAS EMOR

Like Father Like Son

And the son of an Israelite woman, whose father was an Egyptian, went out among the people of Israel [...] and he pronounced the Name (of Hashem) and cursed. And they brought him to Moshe [...] (24:10-11).

The last section of this week's *parsha* deals with the unfortunate story of the individual who cursed Hashem by using the explicit name that he heard at Mount Sinai (see Rashi ad loc). He was then jailed until it could be determined how he was to be punished. Hashem informed Moshe that the punishment for cursing Hashem is death by stoning.

Remarkably, the Torah then interjects into the story several seemingly irrelevant laws: "A man who mortally strikes another human shall be put to death [...] A man who inflicts a wound on another shall be punished in a like manner; a break for a break, an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth [...]" (24:17-20). Additionally, there are several laws of jurisprudence regarding making restitution for injuring an animal. The Torah then continues with the narrative of the story, and the *parsha* ends with the blasphemer being taken outside the camp and stoned to death.

What is the reason for the interjection of these laws into the story line? Why did Hashem see fit to include them in His answer to Moshe?

Rashi (ad loc) points out that the blasphemer's father was the Egyptian man whom Moshe killed in Egypt. The Egyptian man had attacked a Jew the day before and Moshe killed him with the explicit name of Hashem. There is a fascinating Gemara (*Sanhedrin* 58b) that uses this story as proof that a non-Jew who strikes a Jew is punished with death. The very next line in the Gemara states "and so R' Chanina said, one who hits a Jew on the chin it is as if he hit the chin of the *Shechina*."

The Gemara is teaching us that an attack on a Jew is an attack on God Himself. The Egyptian who struck a Jew was put to death by Moshe because his act was considered an attack on God. Remarkably, the same explicit name that Moshe used to kill the father of the



Miami Edition

blasphemer is the name that gets cursed by the blasphemer. In other words, his father was killed for an indirect attack on God and his son took it a step further by directly attacking God.

It is for this reason that the story is interrupted by the laws of killing and maiming a Jew: an attack on a Jew is the same as an attack on God. The point is driven home by the placement of these laws in the narrative of the story of the direct attack on God. Quite possibly, the other laws of jurisprudence are included to teach us that when we punish someone we also have to be cognizant that he too is a reflection of Hashem, and we must treat him with the utmost care in the process of meting out justice.



Need someone to say Kaddish for a loved one?

Unable to attend shul for Yizkor?

Worried about forgetting a Yahrzeit?

Let us help perpetuate the blessed memory of your loved one.

Visit GetKaddish.com

Teachers and Students

The Gemara (*Yevamos* 62b) relates the tragic demise of Rabbi Akiva's students who perished between Pesach and Shavuot: "It was said that Rabbi Akiva had 12,000 pairs of disciples from Gabbatha to Antipatris; and all of them died at the same time because they did not treat each other with respect. The world remained desolate until Rabbi Akiva came to our Masters in the South and taught the Torah to them. These were Rabbi Meir, Rabbi Yehuda, Rabbi Yose, Rabbi Shimon, and Rabbi Elazar ben Shammua; and it was they who revived the Torah at that time."

Probably the most difficult question that must be asked is: How can the students of R' Akiva, the man who famously taught "love thy friend as thy self" as a major principle of the Torah, be guilty of not according proper respect to their friends? They are identified as the students of R' Akiva; how is it possible that R' Akiva's guiding principle would be ignored by his very own students? Additionally, why does the Talmud recount the story as 12,000 pairs of students, why not just simply say 24,000 students perished?

It must be pointed out that the Gemara never said that the students of R' Akiva

were disrespectful to one another. The Gemara seems to say that they didn't treat one another with enough respect. What does this mean?

There are two seemingly contradictory *Mishnayos* in *Pirkei Avos*. In the second chapter, the *Mishna* (either 10 or 15, depending on which edition you're using) says, "R' Eliezer says – let the honor of your friend be as precious to you as your own." In the fourth chapter, the *Mishna* (either 12 or 15) says, "R' Elazar ben Shamua says – the honor of your friend should be like the reverence of your teacher." Well, which one is it? Should the honor of your friend be as precious as your own or as precious as your teacher's?

There is no contradiction. There are different types of friends. Maimonides, in his commentary (*Perek* 1, *Mishna* 6) on the words "acquire for yourself a friend," explains that there are three levels of friendship. The highest level, according to Maimonides, is where each friend is helping the other grow and reach his potential as they learn from one another. Maimonides continues, "and this is similar to the love of a student for his teacher and of a teacher to his student." In other

words, there is a type of friendship in which the relationship demands that each person treats the other as if he were his teacher.

That is what R' Elazar ben Shamua meant by "the honor of your friend should be as precious as the reverence of your teacher." This is what the students of R' Akiva failed to do. They only treated their friends with the respect demanded by R' Akiva's dictum of loving your friend like yourself. They failed to treat their *chavrusas* with the respect of a teacher. This is why the Gemara calls them "twelve thousand pairs" – the sin was in how each *chavrusa* pair treated one another.

Unquestionably, this is why we learn the lesson from R' Elazar ben Shamua. He was one of R' Akiva's final students, one of the last five ordained by R' Akiva. R' Elazar ben Shamua had internalized the bitter lesson that befell the earlier students of R' Akiva. That is why he taught that it isn't enough to treat your *chavrusas* with respect. You have to treat them with the same respect due to a teacher.

Introducing Aishel - a Torah campus in the Appalachian Mountains

Looking for a retreat for large family gatherings or family *simchas*? Or perhaps a place to have meaningful "Shabbos of Chizuk" for schools and/or other community programming?

Aishel is features:

- Accommodations for up to 250 people with full dining room and an industrial kosher kitchen
- Two Batei Midrashim (complete with Sifrei Torah)
- Indoor heated gymnasium and indoor heated pool
- Outdoor ball fields, basketball courts, tennis courts, and hockey rink
- Outdoor covered pavilion complete with Bluetooth speakers to provide Ruach
- Specially designed smokeless fire pit that is perfect for a Kumsitz

Nearby Attractions:

- Horseback riding (five minutes away)
- Hershey Park (35 minutes away)
- Skiing (45 minutes - Camelback Resort is about 90 minutes away)
- And Much More!

Visit www.AishelPa.com or call our on-site property manager, Yaakov Gershon Simblit 717-386-8106, for more information.

